Company Name	e: Shawmut Advanced Materials Solutions C	ompany Number: 01	-0234
Emission Point	Numbers Involved:	·	
will only apply	Before examining the General HPV Criteria OR the Matrix HPV, determine whether the HPV Policy applies to the particular of the pollutant at issue is one for which the source is considered is not satisfied, the violation is not an HPV based on the HPV HPV GENERAL CRITERIA	ılar violation. The H ed major or conditio	PV Policy
	e violation, for example, building/modification without a perm onsidered Major).	it, must involve the p	oollutant for which
Criterion No.	Description	<u>H</u>	IPV?
GC1	Failure to Obtain PSD or NSR Permit.	Yes	No 🔀
GC2	Violation of Air Toxics Requirements.	Yes	No 🔀
GC3	Violation that Affects Synthetic Minor (Conditional Major) Star	tus. Yes	No 🔀
GC4	Enforcement Violation	Yes	No
GC5	Title V Certification Violation.	Yes	No 🔀
GC6	Title V Permit Application Violation.	Yes	No 🔀
GC7	Testing, Monitoring, Recordkeeping, or Reporting Violation.	Yes	No 🔀
GC8	Emission Violation.	Yes	No 🔀
GC9	Chronic or Recalcitrant Violation	Yes	No
GC10	Section 112(r) Violation.	Yes	No 🔀
(NOTE	: Refer to Chapter 1200-3-32 for the Division's limited involvement	ent in this Federal Pro	gram).
	HPV MATRIX CRITERIA		·
Criterion No.	Description	E	IPV?
M1A	Emission Violation Detected by Stack Test.	Yes	No 🔀
M1B	Violation of emission limits >15%	Yes	No 🔀
M1C	Violation of emission limits >the Supplement Significant Thres	shold Yes	No 🔀
M2A	Direct Surrogate Violation >5% of limit for >3% of operating t	time Yes	No 🔀
M2B	Direct Surrogate Violation >50% of operating time	Yes	No 🔀
M2C	Direct Surrogate Violation >25% of operating time for 2 report periods	ting Yes	No 🔀
M3A	CEM Detected Violation >15% of limit for >5% of operating t	ime Yes	No 🔀
М3В	CEM Detected Violation >Supplemental Significant Threshold	l Yes	No 🔀

2	οf	2
4	UΙ	_

HPV IDENTIFICATION CHECKLIST (Continued) M₃C CEM Detected Violation >15% for 2 reporting periods Yes No M₃D CEM Detected Violation >50% of operating time Yes No M₃E CEM Detected Violation >25% for 2 reporting periods Yes No M3F CEM Detected Violation for any violation of > 24 hour standard Yes No Opacity Violation via COM, 0-20% opacity standard, >5% opacity M4A Yes for >5% of operating time Opacity Violation via COM, 0-20% opacity standard, >5% opacity M4B Yes No for >3% of operating time for 2 consecutive reporting periods Opacity Violation via COM, >20% opacity standard, >10% opacity M4C Yes No for 5% of operating time Opacity Violation via COM, >20% opacity standard, >10% opacity M4D Yes for 3% of operating time for 2 consecutive reporting periods Opacity Violation via Method 9, 0-20% opacity standard, VEE M4E Yes No >50% over limit Opacity Violation via Method 9, >20% opacity standard, VEE M4F Yes >25% over limit DIS Discretionary HPV Yes

NOTE: Regardless of whether you determined the violation to be an HPV or not an HPV, attach to this checklist, copies of all calculations (not conducted below) and relevant documentation in support of your determination.

DATES, SIGNATURES, AND ROUTING TO BE COMPLETED BY THE IDENTIFIER

Violation Discovery Date: Method of Discovery:	April 7, 2011 FF	NOV Date: Pollutant of Concern:	April 28, 2011
Time to complete HPV			
discovered, date report	<u> </u>	or example, a semiannu:	
Check one	This NOV is a HPV. (If H	PV complete the follo	owing line)
. 1	oased on General Criterion No.	or Matrix Crit	erion No.
	This NOV is <u>not</u> a HPV.		The second secon
Identifier's Name:	Sunanda Shajikumar	Date: M	lay 23, 2011
Upload to the databa	ise.	•	